UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,503	06/22/2006	Roland Mattheus Van Der Tuijn	FR030167US1	4584

25235	7590	12/31/2009

HOGAN & HARTSON LLP
ONE TABOR CENTER, SUITE 1500
1200 SEVENTEENTH ST
DENVER, CO 80202

EXAMINER
PARKER, JEFFREY ALAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2629	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/31/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentcolorado@hhlaw.com

-- *The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address* --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 September 2009</u>.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
    closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 June 2006</u> is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☒ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

        1.☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

        2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

        3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
            application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/14/2009</u>.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's Amendment filed on September 14, 2009 has been

considered. Claims 1-11 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this
or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than
one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by U.S. Publication 2003/0081697 to Little.

As per claims 1 and 8, Little discloses a method/system of generating an

adaptive slicer threshold from a received demodulated signal (Abstract: "An

adaptive slicer threshold generation system includes a first moving average filter

to determine a first average value of a first binary signal"), the method comprising

the steps of: detecting a plurality of maximum values of the signal over a predetermined period, for at least two periods (See Figs. 1 and 4 First moving average filter 410 and paragraph [0026]: "The first moving average filter 410 averages binary ones 110 that the adaptive slicer threshold generation system 400 receives." Binary ones are the "maximum values" of a binary signal), and detecting a plurality of minimum values of the signal over a predetermined period, for at least two periods (See Figs. 1 and 4 Second moving average filter 420 and paragraph [0027]: "The second moving average filter 40 averages binary zeros 120 that the adaptive slicer threshold generation system 400 receives." Binary zeroes are the "minimum values" of a binary signal), wherein the method comprises the steps of: averaging the plurality of detected maximum values and averaging the plurality of detected minimum values (See Fig. 4 Combiners 415 and 465 and paragraphs [0026] and [0027] describing obtaining a moving average of ones, i.e. maximum values, and zeroes, i.e. minimum values), and calculating the slicer threshold from these average minimum and maximum values (See Fig. 4 Combiner 430 and paragraph [0025] describing that the combiner 430 combines the first average value [of maximums] and second average value [of minimums] to generate a slicer threshold).

As per claim 10, Little discloses the system according to claim 8, wherein the first and/or second detectors are a maximum peak detector and a minimum peak detector, respectively (See paragraph [0029] describing the operations of

elements 450, 460, and 470 which determine if a received binary signal is a

binary one, i.e. maximum, or a binary zero, i.e. minimum).

As per claim 11, <u>Little</u> discloses the system according to claim 8, wherein

the system comprises a bit level detector associated with said at least one

memory in order to activate the storage of a new minimum or maximum value

only if a bit level change has been detected (minimum value - paragraph [0032]:

"If the received binary signal, v.sub.in(n), of the minimum comparator 505 of the

minimum detector 510 is less than the delayed output signal, v.sub.out(n-1), of

the minimum comparator 505 that has passed through both the combiner 515

and the delay element 535, then the minimum comparator 505 outputs the

received binary signal, v.sub.in(n), of the minimum comparator 505. Thus, the

output signal, v.sub.out(n), of the minimum comparator 505 substantially equals

the received binary signal, v.sub.in(n), of the minimum comparator 505. In this

case, the received binary signal, v.sub.in(n), of the minimum comparator 505 is

preferably stored in a storage element that may be coupled to the output node of

the minimum comparator 505. Storage of the received binary signal, v.sub.in(n),

of the minimum comparator 505 occurs when the minimum comparator 505

outputs the output signal, v.sub.out(n), that substantially equals the received

binary signal, v.sub.in(n), of the minimum comparator 505. The storage element

may be the combiner 515; however, any other suitable device may be used.";

similarly for maximum value, see paragraph [0036]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for

all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically
> disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the
> differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the
> prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been
> obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary
> skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not
> be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1,

148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for

determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at
   issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating
   obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2-4 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over U.S. Publication 2003/0081697 to <u>Little</u> in view of U.S. Patent 4,709,274 to

<u>Tanioka</u>.

As per claim 2, <u>Little</u> teaches the method according to claim 1. <u>Little</u>

teaches wherein the averages of the maximum and minimum values are

calculated using a running average over the n last successive detected maximum

or minimum values, n being a ... integer greater than 1 (maximum average - paragraph [0026]: "The first moving average filter 410 averages binary ones 110 (see FIG. 1 and FIG. 2) that the adaptive slicer threshold generation system 400 receives. The first moving average filter 410 preferably includes a first delay element 405, a combiner 415, a gain element 435, and a second delay element 445. The first delay element 405 and the combiner 415 each receive the binary signal, v.sub.in(n). The combiner 415 combines a delayed binary signal, v(n-1), which has passed through the first delay element 405, with the received binary signal, v.sub.in(n), and preferably a leakage signal, v.sub.L(n-1). The leakage signal, v.sub.L(n-1), is a sample of the output signal, v.sub.out(n), of the combiner 415 that has passed through both the gain element 435 and the second delay element 445. The output signal, v.sub.out(n), of the combiner 415 preferably is stored in a storage element."; similarly for minimum average, see paragraph [0027]). <u>Little</u> does not teach N being a predetermined integer greater than 1.

However, <u>Tanioka</u> teaches N being a predetermined integer greater than 1 (column 20, lines 51-66: "In general, it is considered that the slice level for pel density signal binarization is preferably selected to be 1/2(DAmax+DAmin). However, the values of DAmax and DAmin are those based on the image area having a size of 1/16 mm.times.1 mm, and it is not always suitable to select a slice level based only on the values of DAmax and DAmin. Furthermore, as in a conventional apparatus, when a slice level is determined by prescan, if the background is discriminated by visual observation, the average value of pel

densities within a relatively wide range is calculated. In the apparatus of the

present application, although the basic operation is a sequential operation type,

the incorporation of a CPU allows averaging of the image area for a wider range

of image by adding previous data to the new pel density average data for 4 main

scans."; Tanioka teaches 4 repetitions for the running average used to calculated

a slice level).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

the invention to recognize the application of the predetermined integer of Tanioka

to the running average of Little modified so that the running average only

calculates the previous 4 samples for the purpose of calculating a moving

average with small memory consumption.

As per claim 3, Little in view of Tanioka teaches the method according to

claim 2. Tanioka teaches wherein n ranges from 2 to 6 (column 20, lines 51-66:

"In general, it is considered that the slice level for pel density signal binarization

is preferably selected to be 1/2(DAmax+DAmin). However, the values of DAmax

and DAmin are those based on the image area having a size of 1/16 mm.times.1

mm, and it is not always suitable to select a slice level based only on the values

of DAmax and DAmin. Furthermore, as in a conventional apparatus, when a slice

level is determined by prescan, if the background is discriminated by visual

observation, the average value of pel densities within a relatively wide range is

calculated. In the apparatus of the present application, although the basic

operation is a sequential operation type, the incorporation of a CPU allows

averaging of the image area for a wider range of image by adding previous data to the new pel density average data for 4 main scans.").

As per claim 4, <u>Little</u> in view of <u>Tanioka</u> teaches the method according to claim 3. <u>Tanioka</u> teaches wherein n is equal to 4 (column 20, lines 51-66: "In general, it is considered that the slice level for pel density signal binarization is preferably selected to be 1/2(DAmax+DAmin). However, the values of DAmax and DAmin are those based on the image area having a size of 1/16 mm.times.1 mm, and it is not always suitable to select a slice level based only on the values of DAmax and DAmin. Furthermore, as in a conventional apparatus, when a slice level is determined by prescan, if the background is discriminated by visual observation, the average value of pel densities within a relatively wide range is calculated. In the apparatus of the present application, although the basic operation is a sequential operation type, the incorporation of a CPU allows averaging of the image area for a wider range of image by adding previous data to the new pel density average data for 4 main scans.").

As per claim 9, <u>Little</u> teaches the system according to claim 8. <u>Little</u> teaches wherein it further comprises at least one … memory to store said several maximum values and said several minimum values to be averaged (maximum values - paragraph [0026]: "The first delay element 405 and the combiner 415 each receive the binary signal, v.sub.in(n)… The output signal, v.sub.out(n), of the combiner 415 preferably is stored in a storage element. The storage element

may be the combiner 415; however, any other suitable device may be used.";

similarly for minimum value, see paragraph [0027]). <u>Little</u> does not teach

wherein it further comprises at least one FIFO memory.

However, <u>Tanioka</u> teaches wherein it further comprises at least one FIFO

memory (column 22, lines 8-15: "In step S10, the slice level Ln+1 for the (n+1)st

pel block is calculated in accordance with the equation (2) based on the slice

level Ln for the nth pel block, DAmax.sub.n, DAmin.sub.n, and the number N of

blocks to be considered. In steps S11 and S12, the calculated slice level Ln+1 is

shifted in a register now storing the slice level Ln, and is supplied to a

comparator 112.")

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

the invention to recognize the application of the predetermined integer of <u>Tanioka</u>

to the running average of <u>Little</u> modified so that the running average only

calculates the previous 4 samples for the purpose of calculating a moving

average with small memory consumption.

Claims 5-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

U.S. Publication 2003/0081697 to <u>Little</u> in view of U.S. Patent 1,566,169 to

<u>Lavrenov</u>.

As per claim 5, <u>Little</u> teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein the

step of detecting a maximum value comprises the operations of: detecting a

maximum peak of the signal during the predetermined period (First moving average filter 410; paragraph [0026]: "The first moving average filter 410 averages binary ones 110 (see FIG. 1 and FIG. 2) that the adaptive slicer threshold generation system 400 receives."), ... , and holding the value of the detected maximum peak as the maximum value over the predetermined period (paragraph [0026]: "The first delay element 405 and the combiner 415 each receive the binary signal, v.sub.in(n)... The output signal, v.sub.out(n), of the combiner 415 preferably is stored in a storage element. The storage element may be the combiner 415; however, any other suitable device may be used.").

Little does not teach the maximum signal peak corresponding to a point where the signal first-order derivative is zero and the signal second-order derivative has a negative value.

However, Lavrenov teaches the maximum signal peak corresponding to a point where the signal first-order derivative is zero and the signal second-order derivative has a negative value (Fig. 2; page 5, lines 24-31: "As this takes place, the second order derivative changes from positive to negative at the point corresponding to the maximum value of the first derivative. When the first order derivative reaches zero (region C), the stage of complete charging is indicated and the storage battery is disconnected from the charging current supply means.").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize the application of the signal maximum locating

technique of Lavrenov to the slice threshold detector of Little modified so that the maximum of each "1" bit can be detected accurately by the slice detector.

As per claim 6, Little teaches the method according to claim 1. Little teaches wherein the step of determining the minimum value comprises the operations of: detecting a minimum peak of the signal during the predetermined period (Second moving average filter 420; paragraph [0027]: "The second moving average filter 40 averages binary zeros 120 (see FIG. 1 and FIG. 2) that the adaptive slicer threshold generation system 400 receives."), ... , and holding the value of the detected minimum peak as the minimum value over the predetermined period (paragraph [0027]: "The first delay element 455 and the combiner 465 each receive the binary signal, v.sub.in(n)... The output signal, v.sub.out(n), of the combiner 465 preferably is stored in a storage element. The storage element may be the combiner 465; however, any other suitable device may be used.").

Little does not teach the minimum signal peak corresponding to a point where the signal first-order derivative is zero and where the signal second-order derivative has a positive value.

However, Lavrenov teaches the minimum signal peak corresponding to a point where the signal first-order derivative is zero and where the signal second-order derivative has a positive value (Fig. 2; page 5, lines 24-31: "As this takes place, the second order derivative changes from positive to negative at the point corresponding to the maximum value of the first derivative. When the first order

derivative reaches zero (region C), the stage of complete charging is indicated and the storage battery is disconnected from the charging current supply means."; One of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate that to find the minimum rather than the maximum, the first order derivative would also be zero and the second order derivative would change from negative to positive).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize the application of the signal maximum locating technique of <u>Lavrenov</u> to the slice threshold detector of <u>Little</u> modified so that the maximum of each "1" bit can be detected accurately by the slice detector.

As per claim 7, <u>Little</u> in view of <u>Lavrenov</u> teaches the method according to claim 5. <u>Little</u> teaches wherein a new detected maximum value is used to calculate the average maximum value only if a minimum peak has been detected during the previous predetermined period (paragraph [0036]: "If the received binary signal, v.sub.in(n), of the peak comparator 555 of the peak detector 520 is more than the delayed output signal, v.sub.out(n-1), of the peak comparator 555 that has passed through both the combiner 565 and the delay element 585, then the peak comparator 555 outputs the received binary signal, v.sub.in(n), of the peak comparator 555."), and a new detected minimum value is used to calculate the average minimum value only if a maximum peak has been detected during the previous predetermined period (paragraph [0032]: "If the received binary signal, v.sub.in(n), of the minimum comparator 505 of the minimum detector 510 is less than the delayed output signal, v.sub.out(n-1), of the minimum comparator

505 that has passed through both the combiner 515 and the delay element 535,

then the minimum comparator 505 outputs the received binary signal, v.sub.in(n),

of the minimum comparator 505.").

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 14, 2009 have been fully

considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that absent from Little is the use of an average of several

detected maximum values and the average of several detected minimum values.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Fig. 1 shows the binary ones and zeroes

that are detected in a received signal over time. A binary signal contains two

possible values: a "one" which is the signal's maximum, and a "zero" which is the

signal's minimum. In Fig. 4 and paragraphs [0026]-[0027], Little describes

calculating a moving average of the voltage of the signal's detected ones,

maximums, and zeroes, minimums, and calculating the slicer threshold as the

voltage between the averaged maximum and averaged minimum. This threshold

is constantly changing due to the new measurements of the voltages of the ones

and zeroes detected in the signal.

Applicant argues that Little only shows using a single maximum binary "0"

and a single minimum "1" in a second embodiment which correlates to a

description in Applicant's background. This may be true of the second

embodiment, but this is not the embodiment relied upon for the rejection, and

therefore does not discredit Little as a reference for the rejection.

In response to Applicant's argument that the references fail to show

certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which

applicant relies (i.e. the benefit of reducing the slicer's sensitivity to noise

compared to the background method) are not recited in the rejected claim(s).

Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from

the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988

F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of

time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory

action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory

period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEFFREY PARKER whose telephone number is (571) 270-5161. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JAP/
/Temesghen Ghebretinsae/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611 12/21/09 OAB